

Technical note on seasonal adjustment for Wholesale price index

March 8, 2010

Contents

1 Wholesale price index	2
1.1 Additive versus multiplicative seasonality	2
2 Steps in the seasonal adjustment procedure	2
2.1 Tests for identifying the nature of seasonality	3
2.2 Seasonal adjustment of WPI with X-12-ARIMA	4
2.3 Diagnostic checks	5
2.3.1 Validation of the automodel choice by X-12-ARIMA	5
2.3.2 Presence of identifiable seasonality	6
3 Year on year growth versus seasonally adjusted point on point growth	7
4 Spectral representation	7
5 Sliding span diagnostics	8
6 Revision history diagnostics	9
7 Accounting for India-specific moving holiday effects	11

List of Figures

1 Wholesale price index (Non seasonal adjusted)	2
2 Monthly growth rates across the years	3
3 Wholesale price index (NSA and SA)	5
4 ACF of residuals	6
5 WPI Spectral plot (NSA and SA)	8
6 RMSE of revisions	10
7 RMSE of revisions	11


List of Tables

1 HEGY test statistics	4
2 Year on year and point on point growth rates	13

1 Wholesale price index

We analyse the monthly data for WPI from April, 1994 onwards. Figure 1 shows the original plot of the series. In such a non-seasonally adjusted series, it is difficult to discern a trend as the seasonal variations may mask the important characteristics of a time series.

Figure 1 Wholesale price index (Non seasonal adjusted)



1.1 Additive versus multiplicative seasonality

X-12-ARIMA has the capability to determine the mode of the seasonal adjustment decomposition to be performed i.e whether multiplicative or additive seasonal adjustment decomposition is appropriate for the series. For the given series, multiplicative seasonal adjustment is considered appropriate on the basis of the model selection criteria.

2 Steps in the seasonal adjustment procedure

Given that seasonality exists, it is important to model seasonality before the application of seasonal adjustment procedure. Seasonality in time series can be deterministic or stochastic.

Stochastic seasonality can be stationary or non-stationary.

A visually appealing way of looking at the raw data is to plot the growth rates in each of the months across the years i.e the growth of April over March in each of the years from 1994 onwards. This gives us some idea of the presence of seasonal peaks, if any in the series.

Figure 2 Monthly growth rates across the years




Figure 2 shows growth rates in each of the months across the years. The plot shows a broadly uniform pattern across the growth rates for various months. The growth rates are mean reverting in each of the months.

2.1 Tests for identifying the nature of seasonality

We test for the nature of seasonality using HEGY and Canova Hansen test.

Under the null hypothesis of the HEGY test, nonstationary unit root behavior exists not only at the long run (or zero) frequency, but also at some or all of the seasonal frequencies.

The Canova Hansen test takes the opposite approach. The null hypothesis is stationarity with deterministic seasonality.

Table 1 HEGY test statistics

	Stat.	p-value
tpi_1	0.21	0.10
tpi_2	-0.79	0.10
Fpi_3:4	27.61	0.10
Fpi_5:6	24.39	0.10
Fpi_7:8	13.92	0.10
Fpi_9:10	10.20	0.10
Fpi_11:12	1.11	0.01
Fpi_2:12	133.78	
Fpi_1:12	151.96	

----- - ----- ----
Canova & Hansen test
----- - ----- ----

Null hypothesis: Stationarity.

Alternative hypothesis: Unit root.

Frequency of the tested cycles: $\pi/6$, $\pi/3$, $\pi/2$, $2\pi/3$, $5\pi/6$, π ,

L-statistic: 1.921

Lag truncation parameter: 14

Critical values:

0.10 0.05 0.025 0.01

2.49 2.75 2.99 3.27

The HEGY test results show that the null of seasonal unit root cannot be rejected.
The seasonal pattern in WPI is taken to be stochastic.

2.2 Seasonal adjustment of WPI with X-12-ARIMA

Seasonal adjustment is done with X-12-ARIMA method. Since the HEGY and Canova Hansen test point towards stochastic seasonal pattern, seasonal dummy is not added in the RegARIMA model.

Figure 3 Wholesale price index (NSA and SA)




Figure 3 shows the non-seasonally and seasonally adjusted WPI. The blue line shows the non-seasonally adjusted WPI and the red line shows the seasonally adjusted one.


2.3 Diagnostic checks

After seasonal adjustment, a series of diagnostic checks are performed through relevant tests and quality assessment statistics.

2.3.1 Validation of the automodel choice by X-12-ARIMA

A test of validation of the auto model choice by X-12-ARIMA is the randomness of the residuals of the ARIMA model. The Ljung-Box test is conducted on the residuals of the fitted ARIMA model to check whether or not the residuals are white noise. The ACFs of the residuals are plotted to check for randomness.

Figure 4 ACF of residuals



The automatic model of (2,1,1) gives better results in terms of randomness of the residuals. *Broadly, the figure 4 does not reveal significant autocorrelation amongst the residuals.*

2.3.2 Presence of identifiable seasonality

The statistic M7 shows the amount of moving seasonality present relative to stable seasonality. It shows the combined result for the test of stable and moving seasonality in the series. A value lesser than 0.7 is desirable to show identifiable seasonality in the series. The value of M7 for WPI is 0.6.

WPI shows identifiable seasonality on the basis of the M7 statistic.

3 Year on year growth versus seasonally adjusted point on point growth

Growth rates can be computed either year on year or point on point. The year on year growth rate is computed as the percentage change with respect to the corresponding month (or quarter) in the preceding year, while the point on point growth rate is computed as the percentage change with respect to the preceding period.

Table 1 shows the year on year growth and seasonally adjusted annualized rate in percent, point on point.


4 Spectral representation

Figure 5 shows the spectral plot of the growth rate of the unadjusted and seasonally adjusted series. Spectral plot, an important tool of the frequency domain analysis shows the portion of variance of the series contributed by cycles of different frequencies.

The x-axis represent frequency from 0 to π (3.14). The seasonal frequencies are $\pi/6$ (0.52 on the x-axis), $\pi/3$ (1.04 on the x-axis), $\pi/2$ (1.57 on the x-axis), $2\pi/3$ (2.09 on the x-axis) and $5\pi/6$ (2.6 on the x-axis). In terms of periods (months); they are 12 months, 6 months, 4 months, 3 months and 2.4 months.

The figure at the lower panel shows that peaks at seasonal frequencies are eliminated after seasonal adjustment. Other peaks seen in the lower panel of the figure are not at seasonal frequencies.

Figure 5 WPI Spectral plot (NSA and SA)



5 Sliding span diagnostics

Sliding span diagnostics are descriptive statistics of how the seasonal adjustments and their month-to-month changes vary when the span of data used to calculate them is altered in a systematic way.

It is based on the idea that for a month common to more than one overlapping spans, the percent change of its adjusted value from the different spans should not exceed the threshold value and for a month common to more than one span, the difference between the month on month change from the different spans should not exceed the threshold value (the threshold value being 0.03).

Sliding span gives the percentage of months ($A\%$) for which the seasonal adjustment is unstable (the difference in the seasonally adjusted values for a particular month from more than one span should not exceed 0.03). It also gives the percentage of months ($MM\%$) for which the month on month changes of the seasonally adjusted values is unstable i.e exceeding the threshold value.

The seasonal adjustment produced by the procedure chosen should not be used if $A\% > 25.0$ (> 15.0 is considered problematic) or if $MM\% > 40.0$.

For Wholesale price index the programme gives the warning that the range of the means of the seasonal factors is too low for sliding span measures to be reliable. Hence this diagnostic

measure is not relied for this series.

WARNING: The sliding span diagnostics is not reliable when the range of the seasonal factors in a particular span is low (less than 5).

6 Revision history diagnostics

We generate the revision history diagnostics for different series. For a given series y_t where $t = 1, \dots, T$, we define $A_{t|n}$ to be the seasonal adjustment of y_t calculated from the series y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n , where $t \leq n \leq T$. The concurrent seasonal adjustment of observation t is $A_{t|t}$ and the most final adjustment of observation t is $A_{t|T}$. The percent revision of the seasonally adjusted series is defined to be:

$$R_t = \frac{A_{t|T} - A_{t|t}}{A_{t|t}}$$

This revision in the levels is reported by the X-12-ARIMA programme. The programme also reports the revisions in the month on month change in the seasonally adjusted values. Let $C_{t|n}$ denote the month to month change in the seasonally adjusted series at time t calculated from the series y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n . $C_{t|n}$ is calculated as:

$$C_{t|n} = \frac{A_{t|n} - A_{t-1|n}}{A_{t-1|n}}$$

The revision for these changes is:

$$R_t = C_{t|T} - C_{t|t}$$

Figure 6 shows the root mean square error of the revisions of the month on month changes of the seasonally adjusted values normalized by the standard deviation of the month on month change in the seasonally adjusted values. The figures range from 0.33 to 0.41.

Figure 6 RMSE of revisions





Figure 7 shows the root mean square error of the revisions of the seasonally adjusted values normalized by the standard deviation of the seasonally adjusted series. The figures range from 0.018 to 0.026.

Figure 7 RMSE of revisions



7 Accounting for India-specific moving holiday effects

Accounting for moving holiday effect is a crucial component of pre-treatment of the series before the application of seasonal adjustment method. X-12-ARIMA is capable of handling the moving holiday effects through the inclusion of regressors for Easter Sunday, Labor Day, and Thanksgiving Day. These are important moving holidays for U.S time series.

We use the GENHOL program of X-12-ARIMA to analyse India-specific moving holiday effect. The program generates regressor matrices from holiday date file to enable X-12-ARIMA, estimation of complex moving holiday effects. It has the capability to generate regressors for before the holiday interval, surrounding the holiday interval and past the holiday interval.

The key assumption is that the fundamental structure of a time series changes for a fixed number of days before, after or for a fixed interval surrounding the holidays. We estimate the effect of Diwali which is an important moving holiday in Indian scenario. We estimate the effect with different specifications about the number of days around the festival. However we

did not find significant results for diwali effect on WPI.

Table 2 Year on year and point on point growth rates

	Y.o.Y.growth	Point.on.point.growth
2006 Jan	4.08	-1.47
2006 Feb	4.03	3.89
2006 Mar	3.91	0.98
2006 Apr	3.86	5.45
2006 May	4.73	9.51
2006 Jun	5.12	6.96
2006 Jul	4.83	0.31
2006 Aug	5.12	6.86
2006 Sep	5.38	9.80
2006 Oct	5.51	10.04
2006 Nov	5.50	8.44
2006 Dec	5.68	6.81
2007 Jan	6.37	7.10
2007 Feb	6.36	4.12
2007 Mar	6.61	3.53
2007 Apr	6.28	0.61
2007 May	5.46	-1.40
2007 Jun	4.53	-3.87
2007 Jul	4.71	0.23
2007 Aug	4.14	0.75
2007 Sep	3.51	2.87
2007 Oct	3.11	6.67
2007 Nov	3.25	12.73
2007 Dec	3.84	13.40
2008 Jan	4.50	15.34
2008 Feb	5.27	12.75
2008 Mar	7.48	18.42
2008 Apr	8.04	15.32
2008 May	8.86	6.39
2008 Jun	11.82	20.69
2008 Jul	12.36	12.16
2008 Aug	12.82	6.18
2008 Sep	12.27	-2.86
2008 Oct	11.06	-5.16
2008 Nov	8.48	-13.48
2008 Dec	6.19	-4.74
2009 Jan	4.90	-6.90
2009 Feb	3.50	-3.47
2009 Mar	1.20	0.39
2009 Apr	1.27	6.41
2009 May	1.38	6.76
2009 Jun	-1.01	-0.05
2009 Jul	-0.54	9.10
2009 Aug	-0.17	10.81
2009 Sep	0.46	4.92
2009 Oct	1.46	7.56
2009 Nov	5.53	34.89
2009 Dec	7.27	6.93
2010 Jan	8.56	15.04
